

Present & future of the Moca c

Pierre Weis

Ssurf – May 13 2008

The new Moca release

The 0.6 release of the Moca compiler was delivered the 13th of 2008.

The main new feature is the *automatic test generation* of generated files. This has been done by Laura Lowe during its internship at Loria (extremely successful internship).

From each relational data type definition a set of test cases and the invariants is generated.

The new Moca enhancements

In addition, we did:

- Numerous bug fixes (in particular thanks to Laura tests).
- Completion has been enhanced.
- Distributivity has been widely generalized.
- Vary-ary generation completely revisited.
- Some bug additions (for instance `Division_by_a` removal).

Embedded user's Caml code

An important new feature is the possibility for the user to embed arbitrary Caml code within the definition of the relational type. This was mandatory to define a specific comparison function when the regular Caml polymorphic `Pervasives.compare` was not semantically sound for the relation type. The user can now output as is, *after* the definition of the relational type, the beginning of the definition of the construction function.

As usual, the documentation has been improved, notably by the addition of the ESOP article, the JFLA talk, and other talks given about moca.

The next Moca release(s)

We will split the future development of *Moca* into two parts:

- the implementation part,
- the research part.

The implementation part is shorter term and practically well understood.

The research part is long term and may be impractical, unclear, half backed and not understood at all.

The implementation part pl

We split the implementation plan into:

- revisit the algebraic keywords specification,
- enhance the internal test bed,
- enhance the automatic test generation procedure
- write the manual for Moca.

Implementation: specifications

For each algebraic keyword, we must precisely define the behaviors for each of its variations. In particular, concerning generators, we must fix the vocabulary:

- constant generator (or constary ?),
- unary generator,
- binary generator (two arguments),
- listary generator (a.k.a. vary-ary or vary-adic),
- multary generator (a.k.s. multi-ary i.e. any arity)

Implementation: vocabular

By definition:

- a *constary* generator has *no argument*,
- a *unary* generator (has *one* argument which is *r*),
- a *binary* generator (has *two* arguments),
- a *listary* generator (has *one* argument which is a list),
- a *multary* generator (has any number of arguments).

Implementation: specification

For each keyword and each arity, give:

- the applicability to each arity, the applicability with or required property,
- the rule(s) generated (match clause),
- the priority w.r.t. other rules (?),
- the “no values of the relational type matches” s
- systematically specify the Left, Right, and Both

No macro expansion in the `parser.mly` syntax !

Implementation: the test dire

We should enrich the test bed cases for *Moca*:

- enhance the internal test bed to check as much as possible the *combination* of algebraic rules,
- complete the set of test files to handle the usual algebraic structures (fields, vectorial spaces, etc.) and usual generators/relations presentations of groups (in Coxeter and alii),
- move some test files to the examples for the mo

Implementation: the automatic test

Augment the test generation procedure, such that:

- for each keyword and each case of the keyword s
add a specific test bed to check the behavior of
w.r.t. this specification,
- enhance the automatic test generation procedure
polymorphic relational data types,
- more generally, enhance the test procedure to
exhaustive set of examples given in the test dire

The research part

We will split the future research development of *Moca*

- the Test,
- the Completion,
- the Focalize Library,
- the Proofs,
- Moca for Focalize,
- Moca for the Caml programmer,

Research: the Test generat

Try to understand the generality of the test gener
dure:

- how to generalize the procedure to user's defined (relations) ?
- how to generalize the procedure to the full Cam

Research: Completion algorithm

- Generalize usage of automatic completion,
- AC completion ?
- How to generate complex rules via completion ?

Research: generation of comple

An easy algebraic reasoning proves that the rules
Absorbent \vdash Inverse
induce the rule
`Division_by_absorbent.`

Hence, the generation function for Inverse needs th
`A -> failwith "Division by absorbent"`
UNLESS $A = E$ holds.

Is it possible to generate such a complex completio

Research: the Focalize libra

- Take the Focalize library and “implement” it u algebraic rules.
- Implement the associated algorithm of the Foca

Research: Proofs

Write proofs, proofs, and proofs!!!

- write by hand a proof of a simple example of moca code,
- understand how to generalize the preceding proof to a proof with the generated code (file `file_coq.v`).

Gather and carefully state the generic properties of the Moca generated code, to be able to go on next

Research: Moca for Focalize

Interface Moca to Focalize:

- add a `private` type facility to Focalize data type
- interface Moca to Focalize to allow relational data type definitions in Focalize,
- add the relevant lemmas and properties for the functions to the Focalize code (free proofs to Zenon and Coq).

Research: Moca for Cam

Use Moca to generate `.mli` files that we do not want

- from a `.mlm` file with additional annotations:
 - `export` clauses to export relevant identifiers,
 - abstract annotations for abstract data types,
 - test annotations to specify test equations or definitions or ad hoc algebraic rules.

A data types impedimenta gen

- Other generations (similar to `-test`) ?
 - `set` clause to generate set universes,
 - `data base` annotation to generate data bases,
 - `make_string` annotation to generate a `make_str`,
 - `read_string` annotation to generate a `read_str`.
- Generalization to general printing function and p
tion, (which printing annotations? which parsing
...).

Development guidelines

Program with peace in mind, since

No confusion can ever arise

- except for the value of some quantities, unknown time, hence impossible for us to check,
- since anyway all the *Moca* generated programs again by the Caml compiler (including the comprehensive and fragile matches in pattern matching)